

~~Q.1~~ If ϕ and ψ be differentiable scalar functions of position (x, y, z) , then to prove that

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla(\phi \pm \psi) &= \nabla\phi \pm \nabla\psi \\ \text{or} \\ \text{grad}(\phi \pm \psi) &= \text{grad}\phi \pm \text{grad}\psi. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. By definition, we have

$$\nabla = \vec{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + \vec{j} \frac{\partial}{\partial y} + \vec{k} \frac{\partial}{\partial z}.$$

$$\begin{aligned} \therefore \text{grad}(\phi \pm \psi) &= \nabla(\phi \pm \psi) \\ &= \left(\vec{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + \vec{j} \frac{\partial}{\partial y} + \vec{k} \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \right) (\phi \pm \psi) \\ &= \vec{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} (\phi \pm \psi) + \vec{j} \frac{\partial}{\partial y} (\phi \pm \psi) + \vec{k} \frac{\partial}{\partial z} (\phi \pm \psi) \\ &= \vec{i} \left(\frac{\partial\phi}{\partial x} \pm \frac{\partial\psi}{\partial x} \right) + \vec{j} \left(\frac{\partial\phi}{\partial y} \pm \frac{\partial\psi}{\partial y} \right) + \vec{k} \left(\frac{\partial\phi}{\partial z} \pm \frac{\partial\psi}{\partial z} \right) \\ &= \left(\vec{i} \frac{\partial\phi}{\partial x} + \vec{j} \frac{\partial\phi}{\partial y} + \vec{k} \frac{\partial\phi}{\partial z} \right) \pm \left(\vec{i} \frac{\partial\psi}{\partial x} + \vec{j} \frac{\partial\psi}{\partial y} + \vec{k} \frac{\partial\psi}{\partial z} \right) \\ &= \left(\vec{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + \vec{j} \frac{\partial}{\partial y} + \vec{k} \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \right) \phi \pm \left(\vec{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + \vec{j} \frac{\partial}{\partial y} + \vec{k} \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \right) \psi \\ &= \nabla\phi \pm \nabla\psi \\ &= \text{grad}\phi \pm \text{grad}\psi. \end{aligned}$$

II. If \vec{u} and \vec{v} be differentiable vector functions of position (x, y, z) , to prove that

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla \cdot (\vec{u} \pm \vec{v}) &= \nabla \cdot \vec{u} \pm \nabla \cdot \vec{v} \\ \text{or} \\ \text{div} (\vec{u} \pm \vec{v}) &= \text{div} \vec{u} \pm \text{div} \vec{v}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. By definition, we have

$$\nabla = \vec{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + \vec{j} \frac{\partial}{\partial y} + \vec{k} \frac{\partial}{\partial z}.$$

$$\therefore \text{div} (\vec{u} \pm \vec{v})$$

$$= \nabla \cdot (\vec{u} \pm \vec{v})$$

$$= \left(\vec{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + \vec{j} \frac{\partial}{\partial y} + \vec{k} \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \right) \cdot (\vec{u} \pm \vec{v})$$

$$= \vec{i} \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial x} (\vec{u} \pm \vec{v}) + \vec{j} \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial y} (\vec{u} \pm \vec{v}) + \vec{k} \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial z} (\vec{u} \pm \vec{v})$$

$$= \vec{i} \cdot \left(\frac{\partial \vec{u}}{\partial x} \pm \frac{\partial \vec{v}}{\partial x} \right) + \vec{j} \cdot \left(\frac{\partial \vec{u}}{\partial y} \pm \frac{\partial \vec{v}}{\partial y} \right) + \vec{k} \cdot \left(\frac{\partial \vec{u}}{\partial z} \pm \frac{\partial \vec{v}}{\partial z} \right)$$

$$= \left(\vec{i} \cdot \frac{\partial \vec{u}}{\partial x} + \vec{j} \cdot \frac{\partial \vec{u}}{\partial y} + \vec{k} \cdot \frac{\partial \vec{u}}{\partial z} \right)$$

$$\pm \left(\vec{i} \cdot \frac{\partial \vec{v}}{\partial x} + \vec{j} \cdot \frac{\partial \vec{v}}{\partial y} + \vec{k} \cdot \frac{\partial \vec{v}}{\partial z} \right)$$

$$= \left(\vec{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + \vec{j} \frac{\partial}{\partial y} + \vec{k} \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \right) \cdot \vec{u} \pm \left(\vec{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + \vec{j} \frac{\partial}{\partial y} + \vec{k} \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \right) \cdot \vec{v}$$

$$= \nabla \cdot \vec{u} \pm \nabla \cdot \vec{v}$$

$$= \text{div} \vec{u} \pm \text{div} \vec{v}.$$

III. To prove that

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla \times (\vec{u} \pm \vec{v}) &= \nabla \times \vec{u} \pm \nabla \times \vec{v} \\ \text{or} \\ \text{curl} (\vec{u} \pm \vec{v}) &= \text{curl} \vec{u} \pm \text{curl} \vec{v}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. By definition, we have

$$\nabla = \vec{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + \vec{j} \frac{\partial}{\partial y} + \vec{k} \frac{\partial}{\partial z}$$

Then $\text{curl}(\vec{u} \pm \vec{v})$

$$= \nabla \times (\vec{u} \pm \vec{v})$$

$$= \left(\vec{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + \vec{j} \frac{\partial}{\partial y} + \vec{k} \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \right) \times (\vec{u} \pm \vec{v})$$

$$= \vec{i} \times \frac{\partial}{\partial x} (\vec{u} \pm \vec{v}) + \vec{j} \times \frac{\partial}{\partial y} (\vec{u} \pm \vec{v}) + \vec{k} \times \frac{\partial}{\partial z} (\vec{u} \pm \vec{v})$$

$$= \vec{i} \times \left(\frac{\partial \vec{u}}{\partial x} \pm \frac{\partial \vec{v}}{\partial x} \right) + \vec{j} \times \left(\frac{\partial \vec{u}}{\partial y} \pm \frac{\partial \vec{v}}{\partial y} \right)$$

$$+ \vec{k} \times \left(\frac{\partial \vec{u}}{\partial z} \pm \frac{\partial \vec{v}}{\partial z} \right)$$

$$= \left(\vec{i} \times \frac{\partial \vec{u}}{\partial x} + \vec{j} \times \frac{\partial \vec{u}}{\partial y} + \vec{k} \times \frac{\partial \vec{u}}{\partial z} \right)$$

$$\pm \left(\vec{i} \times \frac{\partial \vec{v}}{\partial x} + \vec{j} \times \frac{\partial \vec{v}}{\partial y} + \vec{k} \times \frac{\partial \vec{v}}{\partial z} \right)$$

$$= \left(\vec{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + \vec{j} \frac{\partial}{\partial y} + \vec{k} \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \right) \times \vec{u} \pm \left(\vec{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + \vec{j} \frac{\partial}{\partial y} + \vec{k} \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \right) \times \vec{v}$$

$$= \nabla \times \vec{u} \pm \nabla \times \vec{v}$$

$$= \text{curl } \vec{u} \pm \text{curl } \vec{v}$$

IV. To prove that

$$\nabla(\phi\psi) = \phi \nabla\psi + \psi \nabla\phi$$

or

$$\text{grad}(\phi\psi) = \phi \text{grad } \psi + \psi \text{grad } \phi$$

(M. U. 1987)

Proof. By definition, we have

$$\nabla = \vec{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + \vec{j} \frac{\partial}{\partial y} + \vec{k} \frac{\partial}{\partial z}$$

Then $\text{grad}(\phi\psi) = \nabla(\phi\psi)$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \left(\vec{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + \vec{j} \frac{\partial}{\partial y} + \vec{k} \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \right) (\phi \psi) \\
&= \vec{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} (\phi \psi) + \vec{j} \frac{\partial}{\partial y} (\phi \psi) + \vec{k} \frac{\partial}{\partial z} (\phi \psi) \\
&= \vec{i} \left(\phi \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x} + \psi \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x} \right) + \vec{j} \left(\phi \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial y} + \psi \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial y} \right) + \vec{k} \left(\phi \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial z} + \psi \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial z} \right) \\
&= \phi \left(\vec{i} \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x} + \vec{j} \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial y} + \vec{k} \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial z} \right) + \psi \left(\vec{i} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x} + \vec{j} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial y} + \vec{k} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial z} \right) \\
&= \phi \left(\vec{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + \vec{j} \frac{\partial}{\partial y} + \vec{k} \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \right) \psi + \psi \left(\vec{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + \vec{j} \frac{\partial}{\partial y} + \vec{k} \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \right) \phi \\
&= \phi \nabla \psi + \psi \nabla \phi \\
&= \phi \text{ grad } \psi + \psi \text{ grad } \phi.
\end{aligned}$$

V. To prove that

$$\begin{aligned}
\nabla \left(\frac{\phi_1}{\phi_2} \right) &= \frac{\phi_2 \nabla \phi_1 - \phi_1 \nabla \phi_2}{\phi_2^2} \\
&\text{or} \\
\text{grad} \left(\frac{\phi_1}{\phi_2} \right) &= \frac{\phi_2 \text{ grad } \phi_1 - \phi_1 \text{ grad } \phi_2}{\phi_2^2},
\end{aligned}$$

where ϕ_1 and ϕ_2 are two scalar point functions.

Proof. $\text{grad} \left(\frac{\phi_1}{\phi_2} \right) = \nabla \left(\frac{\phi_1}{\phi_2} \right)$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \left(\vec{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + \vec{j} \frac{\partial}{\partial y} + \vec{k} \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \right) \left(\frac{\phi_1}{\phi_2} \right) \\
&= \vec{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left(\frac{\phi_1}{\phi_2} \right) + \vec{j} \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \left(\frac{\phi_1}{\phi_2} \right) + \vec{k} \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \left(\frac{\phi_1}{\phi_2} \right) \\
&= \vec{i} \frac{\phi_2 \frac{\partial \phi_1}{\partial x} - \phi_1 \frac{\partial \phi_2}{\partial x}}{\phi_2^2} + \vec{j} \frac{\phi_2 \frac{\partial \phi_1}{\partial y} - \phi_1 \frac{\partial \phi_2}{\partial y}}{\phi_2^2} \\
&\quad + \vec{k} \frac{\phi_2 \frac{\partial \phi_1}{\partial z} - \phi_1 \frac{\partial \phi_2}{\partial z}}{\phi_2^2}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \frac{1}{\varphi_2^2} \left[\varphi_2 \left(\vec{i} \frac{\partial \varphi_1}{\partial x} + \vec{j} \frac{\partial \varphi_1}{\partial y} + \vec{k} \frac{\partial \varphi_1}{\partial z} \right) \right. \\
&\quad \left. - \varphi_1 \left(\vec{i} \frac{\partial \varphi_2}{\partial x} + \vec{j} \frac{\partial \varphi_2}{\partial y} + \vec{k} \frac{\partial \varphi_2}{\partial z} \right) \right] \\
&= \frac{\varphi_2 \nabla \varphi_1 - \varphi_1 \nabla \varphi_2}{\varphi_2^2} = \frac{\varphi_2 \text{grad } \varphi_1 - \varphi_1 \text{grad } \varphi_2}{\varphi_2^2}.
\end{aligned}$$

VI. To prove that

$$\begin{aligned}
\nabla \cdot (\varphi \vec{u}) &= (\nabla \varphi) \cdot \vec{u} + \varphi (\nabla \cdot \vec{u}) \\
\text{or} \\
\text{div } (\varphi \vec{u}) &= (\text{grad } \varphi) \cdot \vec{u} + \varphi \text{div } \vec{u}.
\end{aligned}$$

(P. U. 1985)

Proof. By definition, we have

$$\nabla = \vec{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + \vec{j} \frac{\partial}{\partial y} + \vec{k} \frac{\partial}{\partial z}.$$

Then $\text{div } (\varphi \vec{u})$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \nabla \cdot (\varphi \vec{u}) \\
&= \left(\vec{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + \vec{j} \frac{\partial}{\partial y} + \vec{k} \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \right) \cdot (\varphi \vec{u}) \\
&= \vec{i} \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial x} (\varphi \vec{u}) + \vec{j} \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial y} (\varphi \vec{u}) + \vec{k} \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial z} (\varphi \vec{u}) \\
&= \vec{i} \cdot \left(\frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial x} \vec{u} + \varphi \frac{\partial \vec{u}}{\partial x} \right) + \vec{j} \cdot \left(\frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial y} \vec{u} + \varphi \frac{\partial \vec{u}}{\partial y} \right) \\
&\quad + \vec{k} \cdot \left(\frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial z} \vec{u} + \varphi \frac{\partial \vec{u}}{\partial z} \right) \\
&= \left(\vec{i} \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial x} + \vec{j} \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial y} + \vec{k} \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial z} \right) \cdot \vec{u} \\
&\quad + \varphi \left(\vec{i} \cdot \frac{\partial \vec{u}}{\partial x} + \vec{j} \cdot \frac{\partial \vec{u}}{\partial y} + \vec{k} \cdot \frac{\partial \vec{u}}{\partial z} \right) \\
&= (\nabla \varphi) \cdot \vec{u} + \varphi (\nabla \cdot \vec{u}) \\
&= (\text{grad } \varphi) \cdot \vec{u} + \varphi \text{div } \vec{u}.
\end{aligned}$$

VII. To prove that

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla \times (\varphi \vec{u}) &= (\nabla \varphi) \times \vec{u} + \varphi (\nabla \times \vec{u}) \\ \text{or} \\ \text{curl} (\varphi \vec{u}) &= (\text{grad } \varphi) \times \vec{u} + \varphi \text{curl } \vec{u}. \end{aligned}$$

(M. U. 1986)

Proof. By definition, we have

$$\nabla = \vec{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + \vec{j} \frac{\partial}{\partial y} + \vec{k} \frac{\partial}{\partial z}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \therefore \text{curl} (\varphi \vec{u}) &= \nabla \times (\varphi \vec{u}) \\ &= \left(\vec{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + \vec{j} \frac{\partial}{\partial y} + \vec{k} \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \right) \times (\varphi \vec{u}) \\ &= \vec{i} \times \frac{\partial}{\partial x} (\varphi \vec{u}) + \vec{j} \times \frac{\partial}{\partial y} (\varphi \vec{u}) + \vec{k} \times \frac{\partial}{\partial z} (\varphi \vec{u}) \\ &= \vec{i} \times \left(\frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial x} \vec{u} + \varphi \frac{\partial \vec{u}}{\partial x} \right) + \vec{j} \times \left(\frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial y} \vec{u} + \varphi \frac{\partial \vec{u}}{\partial y} \right) \\ &\quad + \vec{k} \times \left(\frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial z} \vec{u} + \varphi \frac{\partial \vec{u}}{\partial z} \right) \\ &= \left(\vec{i} \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial x} + \vec{j} \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial y} + \vec{k} \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial z} \right) \times \vec{u} + \varphi \left(\vec{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + \vec{j} \frac{\partial}{\partial y} + \vec{k} \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \right) \times \vec{u} \\ &= (\nabla \varphi) \times \vec{u} + \varphi (\nabla \times \vec{u}) \\ &= (\text{grad } \varphi) \times \vec{u} + \varphi (\text{curl } \vec{u}). \end{aligned}$$

VIII. To prove that

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla \cdot (\vec{u} \times \vec{v}) &= \vec{v} \cdot (\nabla \times \vec{u}) - \vec{u} \cdot (\nabla \times \vec{v}) \\ \text{or} \\ \text{div} (\vec{u} \times \vec{v}) &= \vec{v} \cdot \text{curl } \vec{u} - \vec{u} \cdot \text{curl } \vec{v}. \end{aligned}$$

(Bh. U. 1986;

Mith. U. '85)

Proof. By definition, we have

$$\nabla = \vec{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + \vec{j} \frac{\partial}{\partial y} + \vec{k} \frac{\partial}{\partial z}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\therefore \operatorname{div}(\vec{u} \times \vec{v}) &= \nabla \cdot (\vec{u} \times \vec{v}) \\
&= \left(\vec{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + \vec{j} \frac{\partial}{\partial y} + \vec{k} \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \right) \cdot (\vec{u} \times \vec{v}) \\
&= \vec{i} \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial x} (\vec{u} \times \vec{v}) + \vec{j} \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial y} (\vec{u} \times \vec{v}) + \vec{k} \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial z} (\vec{u} \times \vec{v}) \\
&= \vec{i} \cdot \left(\frac{\partial \vec{u}}{\partial x} \times \vec{v} + \vec{u} \times \frac{\partial \vec{v}}{\partial x} \right) + \vec{j} \cdot \left(\frac{\partial \vec{u}}{\partial y} \times \vec{v} + \vec{u} \times \frac{\partial \vec{v}}{\partial y} \right) \\
&\quad + \vec{k} \cdot \left(\frac{\partial \vec{u}}{\partial z} \times \vec{v} + \vec{u} \times \frac{\partial \vec{v}}{\partial z} \right)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \left[\vec{i} \cdot \left(\frac{\partial \vec{u}}{\partial x} \times \vec{v} \right) + \vec{j} \cdot \left(\frac{\partial \vec{u}}{\partial y} \times \vec{v} \right) + \vec{k} \cdot \left(\frac{\partial \vec{u}}{\partial z} \times \vec{v} \right) \right] \\
&\quad + \left[\vec{i} \cdot \left(\vec{u} \times \frac{\partial \vec{v}}{\partial x} \right) + \vec{j} \cdot \left(\vec{u} \times \frac{\partial \vec{v}}{\partial y} \right) + \vec{k} \cdot \left(\vec{u} \times \frac{\partial \vec{v}}{\partial z} \right) \right] \\
&= \left[\left(\vec{i} \times \frac{\partial \vec{u}}{\partial x} \right) \cdot \vec{v} + \left(\vec{j} \times \frac{\partial \vec{u}}{\partial y} \right) \cdot \vec{v} + \left(\vec{k} \times \frac{\partial \vec{u}}{\partial z} \right) \cdot \vec{v} \right] \\
&\quad - \left[\vec{i} \cdot \left(\frac{\partial \vec{v}}{\partial x} \times \vec{u} \right) + \vec{j} \cdot \left(\frac{\partial \vec{v}}{\partial y} \times \vec{u} \right) + \vec{k} \cdot \left(\frac{\partial \vec{v}}{\partial z} \times \vec{u} \right) \right],
\end{aligned}$$

as in a scalar triple product, the positions of dot and cross can be interchanged and by virtue of

$$\vec{a} \cdot (\vec{b} \times \vec{c}) = -\vec{a} \cdot (\vec{c} \times \vec{b})$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \left[\vec{i} \times \frac{\partial \vec{u}}{\partial x} + \vec{j} \times \frac{\partial \vec{u}}{\partial y} + \vec{k} \times \frac{\partial \vec{u}}{\partial z} \right] \cdot \vec{v} \\
&\quad - \left[\left(\vec{i} \times \frac{\partial \vec{v}}{\partial x} \right) \cdot \vec{u} + \left(\vec{j} \times \frac{\partial \vec{v}}{\partial y} \right) \cdot \vec{u} + \left(\vec{k} \times \frac{\partial \vec{v}}{\partial z} \right) \cdot \vec{u} \right],
\end{aligned}$$

as in a scalar triple product, the dot and the cross can be interchanged

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \vec{v} \cdot \left\{ \left(\vec{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + \vec{j} \frac{\partial}{\partial y} + \vec{k} \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \right) \times \vec{u} \right\} \\
&\quad - \vec{u} \cdot \left\{ \left(\vec{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + \vec{j} \frac{\partial}{\partial y} + \vec{k} \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \right) \times \vec{v} \right\},
\end{aligned}$$

as the dot product is commutative

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \vec{v} \cdot (\nabla \times \vec{u}) - \vec{u} \cdot (\nabla \times \vec{v}) \\
 &= \vec{v} \cdot \text{curl } \vec{u} - \vec{u} \cdot \text{curl } \vec{v}.
 \end{aligned}$$

✓X. To prove that

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\nabla \times (\vec{u} \times \vec{v}), \text{ that is,} \\
 \text{curl } (\vec{u} \times \vec{v}) &= (\vec{v} \cdot \nabla) \vec{u} - (\vec{u} \cdot \nabla) \vec{v} + \vec{u} \text{ div } \vec{v} - \vec{v} \text{ div } \vec{u}.
 \end{aligned}$$

(M. U. 1984 H; R. U. 1986; P. U. '86; Mith. U. '86; B. U. '85)

Proof. By definition, we have

$$\nabla = \vec{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + \vec{j} \frac{\partial}{\partial y} + \vec{k} \frac{\partial}{\partial z}.$$

$$\therefore \text{curl } (\vec{u} \times \vec{v})$$

$$= \nabla \times (\vec{u} \times \vec{v})$$

$$= \left(\vec{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + \vec{j} \frac{\partial}{\partial y} + \vec{k} \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \right) \times (\vec{u} \times \vec{v})$$

$$= \vec{i} \times \frac{\partial}{\partial x} (\vec{u} \times \vec{v}) + \vec{j} \times \frac{\partial}{\partial y} (\vec{u} \times \vec{v}) + \vec{k} \times \frac{\partial}{\partial z} (\vec{u} \times \vec{v})$$

$$= \vec{i} \times \left(\vec{u} \times \frac{\partial \vec{v}}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial \vec{u}}{\partial x} \times \vec{v} \right)$$

$$+ \vec{j} \times \left(\vec{u} \times \frac{\partial \vec{v}}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial \vec{u}}{\partial y} \times \vec{v} \right)$$

$$+ \vec{k} \times \left(\vec{u} \times \frac{\partial \vec{v}}{\partial z} + \frac{\partial \vec{u}}{\partial z} \times \vec{v} \right)$$

$$= \left[\vec{i} \times \left(\vec{u} \times \frac{\partial \vec{v}}{\partial x} \right) + \vec{j} \times \left(\vec{u} \times \frac{\partial \vec{v}}{\partial y} \right) + \vec{k} \times \left(\vec{u} \times \frac{\partial \vec{v}}{\partial z} \right) \right]$$

$$+ \left[\vec{i} \times \left(\frac{\partial \vec{u}}{\partial x} \times \vec{v} \right) + \vec{j} \times \left(\frac{\partial \vec{u}}{\partial y} \times \vec{v} \right) \right]$$

$$+ \left[\vec{k} \times \left(\frac{\partial \vec{u}}{\partial z} \times \vec{v} \right) \right]$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \left[\left(\vec{i} \cdot \frac{\partial \vec{v}}{\partial x} \right) \vec{u} - (\vec{i} \cdot \vec{u}) \frac{\partial \vec{v}}{\partial x} + \left(\vec{j} \cdot \frac{\partial \vec{v}}{\partial y} \right) \vec{u} - (\vec{j} \cdot \vec{u}) \frac{\partial \vec{v}}{\partial y} \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \left(\vec{k} \cdot \frac{\partial \vec{v}}{\partial z} \right) \vec{u} - (\vec{k} \cdot \vec{u}) \frac{\partial \vec{v}}{\partial z} \right] \\
&\quad + \left[(\vec{i} \cdot \vec{v}) \frac{\partial \vec{u}}{\partial x} - \left(\vec{i} \cdot \frac{\partial \vec{u}}{\partial x} \right) \vec{v} + (\vec{j} \cdot \vec{v}) \frac{\partial \vec{u}}{\partial y} \right. \\
&\quad \left. - \left(\vec{j} \cdot \frac{\partial \vec{u}}{\partial y} \right) \vec{v} + (\vec{k} \cdot \vec{v}) \frac{\partial \vec{u}}{\partial z} - \left(\vec{k} \cdot \frac{\partial \vec{u}}{\partial z} \right) \vec{v} \right],
\end{aligned}$$

using the formula $\vec{a} \times (\vec{b} \times \vec{c}) = (\vec{a} \cdot \vec{c}) \vec{b} - (\vec{a} \cdot \vec{b}) \vec{c}$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \vec{u} \cdot \left\{ \left(\vec{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + \vec{j} \frac{\partial}{\partial y} + \vec{k} \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \right) \cdot \vec{v} \right\} \\
&\quad - \left\{ \vec{u} \cdot \left(\vec{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + \vec{j} \frac{\partial}{\partial y} + \vec{k} \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \right) \right\} \cdot \vec{v} \\
&\quad + \left\{ \vec{v} \cdot \left(\vec{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + \vec{j} \frac{\partial}{\partial y} + \vec{k} \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \right) \right\} \cdot \vec{u} \\
&\quad - \vec{v} \cdot \left\{ \left(\vec{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + \vec{j} \frac{\partial}{\partial y} + \vec{k} \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \right) \cdot \vec{u} \right\} \\
&= \vec{u} (\nabla \cdot \vec{v}) - (\vec{u} \cdot \nabla) \vec{v} + (\vec{v} \cdot \nabla) \vec{u} - \vec{v} (\nabla \cdot \vec{u}) \\
&= \vec{u} \operatorname{div} \vec{v} - (\vec{u} \cdot \nabla) \vec{v} + (\vec{v} \cdot \nabla) \vec{u} - \vec{v} \operatorname{div} \vec{u} \\
&= (\vec{v} \cdot \nabla) \vec{u} - (\vec{u} \cdot \nabla) \vec{v} + \vec{u} \operatorname{div} \vec{v} - \vec{v} \operatorname{div} \vec{u}.
\end{aligned}$$

~~X~~. To prove that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\nabla (\vec{u} \cdot \vec{v}) \\
&= \vec{u} \times (\nabla \times \vec{v}) + \vec{v} \times (\nabla \times \vec{u}) + (\vec{u} \cdot \nabla) \vec{v} + (\vec{v} \cdot \nabla) \vec{u}
\end{aligned}$$

or

$$\begin{aligned}
&\operatorname{grad} (\vec{u} \cdot \vec{v}) \\
&= \vec{u} \times (\operatorname{curl} \vec{v}) + \vec{v} \times (\operatorname{curl} \vec{u}) + (\vec{u} \cdot \nabla) \vec{v} + (\vec{v} \cdot \nabla) \vec{u}.
\end{aligned}$$

Proof. By definition, we have

$$\nabla = \vec{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + \vec{j} \frac{\partial}{\partial y} + \vec{k} \frac{\partial}{\partial z}$$

$$\therefore \text{grad}(\vec{u} \cdot \vec{v})$$

$$= \nabla(\vec{u} \cdot \vec{v})$$

$$= \left(\vec{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + \vec{j} \frac{\partial}{\partial y} + \vec{k} \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \right) (\vec{u} \cdot \vec{v})$$

$$= \vec{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} (\vec{u} \cdot \vec{v}) + \vec{j} \frac{\partial}{\partial y} (\vec{u} \cdot \vec{v}) + \vec{k} \frac{\partial}{\partial z} (\vec{u} \cdot \vec{v})$$

$$= \vec{i} \left(\vec{u} \cdot \frac{\partial \vec{v}}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial \vec{u}}{\partial x} \cdot \vec{v} \right) + \vec{j} \left(\vec{u} \cdot \frac{\partial \vec{v}}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial \vec{u}}{\partial y} \cdot \vec{v} \right)$$

$$+ \vec{k} \left(\vec{u} \cdot \frac{\partial \vec{v}}{\partial z} + \frac{\partial \vec{u}}{\partial z} \cdot \vec{v} \right)$$

$$= \left\{ \left(\vec{u} \cdot \frac{\partial \vec{v}}{\partial x} \right) \vec{i} + \left(\vec{u} \cdot \frac{\partial \vec{v}}{\partial y} \right) \vec{j} + \left(\vec{u} \cdot \frac{\partial \vec{v}}{\partial z} \right) \vec{k} \right\}$$

$$+ \left\{ \left(\vec{v} \cdot \frac{\partial \vec{u}}{\partial x} \right) \vec{i} + \left(\vec{v} \cdot \frac{\partial \vec{u}}{\partial y} \right) \vec{j} + \left(\vec{v} \cdot \frac{\partial \vec{u}}{\partial z} \right) \vec{k} \right\} \dots (1)$$

But by the well known formula

$$\vec{a} \times (\vec{b} \times \vec{c}) = (\vec{a} \cdot \vec{c}) \vec{b} - (\vec{a} \cdot \vec{b}) \vec{c}$$

$$\text{or } (\vec{a} \cdot \vec{b}) \vec{c} = (\vec{a} \cdot \vec{c}) \vec{b} - \vec{a} \times (\vec{b} \times \vec{c})$$

$$= (\vec{a} \cdot \vec{c}) \vec{b} + \vec{a} \times (\vec{c} \times \vec{b}),$$

By virtue of this formula, we have

$$\left(\vec{u} \cdot \frac{\partial \vec{v}}{\partial x} \right) \vec{i} + \left(\vec{u} \cdot \frac{\partial \vec{v}}{\partial y} \right) \vec{j} + \left(\vec{u} \cdot \frac{\partial \vec{v}}{\partial z} \right) \vec{k}$$

$$= (\vec{u} \cdot \vec{i}) \frac{\partial \vec{v}}{\partial x} + \vec{u} \times \left(\vec{i} \times \frac{\partial \vec{v}}{\partial x} \right) + (\vec{u} \cdot \vec{j}) \frac{\partial \vec{v}}{\partial y}$$

$$+ \vec{u} \times \left(\vec{j} \times \frac{\partial \vec{v}}{\partial y} \right) + (\vec{u} \cdot \vec{k}) \frac{\partial \vec{v}}{\partial z} + \vec{u} \times \left(\vec{k} \times \frac{\partial \vec{v}}{\partial z} \right)$$